

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/580,491	05/30/2000	Kurt Hertogs	TIBO-0016(VIP0004US)	8312
27777	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	\sim					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/580,491	HERTOGS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INO DATE of this committee to	Michael Borin	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresponaence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2005.						
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	□ <u>-</u>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment filed 06/16/2005 is acknowledged.

Claim 7 is pending.

The rejection under USC 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments. Examiner acknowledges that the list of inhibitors is describing conventional antiviral therapy effectiveness of which is being evaluated, rather than introducing limitations to the claimed method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Condra et al., and admitted prior art, and Seki et al. (Antiviral Chemistry & Chemotherapy (1995) 6(2), 73-9), and Bakhanashvili et al (FEBS Letters (1996), 391(3), 257-262).

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record and further in view of the following.

With respect to the use of Condra reference, applicant argues that, based on the data of table 1, it is unlikely that an artisan would select the particular mutation, 88T mutation, as a marker of effectiveness of anti-HIV therapy. However, the claim uses open-ended language "at least one mutation", which is open for the identification of

more than one single mutation, and then using said set of mutations (i.e., "at least one mutation") as markers of effectiveness of anti-HIV therapy.

Similarly, applicant's arguments regarding "single substitution" are not deemed persuasive, as the claims are not directed to a single substitution, but rather to one or more mutations.

With respect to obviousness part of rejection, as reflected at the beginning of rejection, Condra et al had been used as a reference in rejection under 102, not 103. The rejection was changed to obviousness rejection under USC 103 to reflect the amended claim language directed to combination therapy (step d)). Thus the rejection states that an artisan would be motivated to evaluate effectiveness of anti-HIV therapy by determining presence of potential resistances to both PI and RT inhibitors. Applicant seems to agree with this position by stating that "inherent in a method of evaluating the effectiveness of an antiviral therapy of an HIV-infected patient is using RT and protease inhibitors" (see p. 8, last paragraph, of the response.

The rejection is maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1631

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (571) 272-0713. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Man

Michael Borin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

mlb